



**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221**

March 29, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEMBERS

SUBJECT: Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) 1176, Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs) (Supply/MILSTRIP)

The attached change to DLM 4000.25, Defense Logistics Management Standards, and DLM 4000.25-1, Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedures, is approved for implementation. The updated DLMS Implementation Conventions (IC) will be posted to the Enterprise Business Standards Office Web at http://www.dlms.dla.mil/eLibrary/TransFormats/140_997.asp, within 10 days from the above date.

Addressees may direct questions to DLMS_MILSTRIP@dla.mil; Ms. Ellen Hilert, DOD MILSTRIP Administrator, e-mail: Ellen.Hilert@dla.mil, or Mr. Eric Flanagan, e-mail: Eric.Flanagan@dla.mil, MILSTRIP Alternate. Others must contact their designated Supply PRC representative available at www.dlms.dla.mil/eLibrary/ServicePoints/allpoc.asp.

HEIDI M. DAVEREDE
Program Manager
Enterprise Business Standards Office

Attachment
As stated

cc:
ODASD (SCI)

Attachment to ADC 1176
**Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service
Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and
Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics
Agency (DLA) Support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs)**

1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION:

a. **Army POC:** Kurt Phoel, PEO EIS, SFAE-PS-AE-LMP, (862) 259-0186,
kurt.m.phoel.ctr@mail.mil

b. **DLMS Program Office POC:** Ellen Hilert, MILSTRIP Administrator,
DLMS_MILSTRIP@dla.mil

2. FUNCTIONAL AREA:

a. **Primary/Secondary Functional Area:** Supply

b. **Primary/Secondary Functional Process:** Requisitioning and Distribution

3. REFERENCES:

a. [ADC 103](#), Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) Processing Rules for Project Code 3AD, July 23, 2003

b. [Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedures \(MILSTRIP\), Appendix 2.13](#), Project Codes

c. [DLM 4000.25](#), Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS), Volume 2, Supply Standards and Procedures, Chapter 4, Requisitioning

d. [Supply Process Review Committee \(PRC\) and Joint Physical Inventory Working Group \(JPWIG\) 13-1](#), Meeting focused on maintaining accountability during Organic Depot Maintenance and DMISA

e. [Supply PRC and Joint Physical Inventory Working Group \(JPWIG\) 14-1](#), Meeting focused on accountability during Repair (Organic)

f. [DLMS 842P](#), Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) Data Exchange and Enhanced Exhibit Tracking via Standard Logistics Transactions

g. [ADC 1007A](#), Enhanced Pre-positioned Materiel Receipt (PMR) Data for Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) Exhibit Tracking, February 27, 2013

h. [ADC 381](#), Procedures and Additional Data Content supporting Requisitions, Requisition Alerts, and Unit of Use Requirements under Navy Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Supply, Storage and Distribution (SS&D) Inventory Management and Stock Positioning (IMSP), July 1, 2010

i. [ADC 360](#), Procedures and Content Requirements for Catalog Data Support under Navy and Marine Corps BRAC, January 5, 2011

j. [ADC 1159](#), New Supply Condition Code X, Suspended (Repair Decision Delayed), November 25, 2015

k. [ADC 1025](#), Update of Routing Identifier Codes, DOD Activity Address Codes, Repairable/Nonrepairable National Item Identification Numbers, and Combatant Command Designations in the Logistics Metrics Analysis Reporting System (LMARS), September 12, 2012

l. [Federal Logistics Information System \(FLIS\) Procedures Manual](#), DOD 4100.39-M, Volume 10.

4. REQUESTED CHANGE(S): Substantive updates subsequent to staffing are highlighted in green.

a. Brief Overview:

(1) This change revises and clarifies procedures supporting requisitioning under a Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable agreement.

(a) Update Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) to pass (rather than route) all requisitions containing Project Codes 3AB and 3BB to the activity identified by the routing identifier code (RIC)-To. This will allow DAAS to transmit the requisition to to the Principal (owner), rather than to the Federal Logistics Information System (FLIS) source of supply under DAAS routing rules.

(b) Revise MILSTRIP to provide additional guidance specific to the use of Project Codes 3AB, 3BB, and 3AD, inclusive of the intended recipient of requisitions for parts needed to complete a maintenance action.

(c) Revise the MILSTRIP requisition data content rules to allow use of Supply Condition Code (SCC) by the repair agent when requisitioning for induction. The following scenarios are included to clarify usage beyond the traditional requisitioning for unserviceable reparable (SCC F).

1. Use SCC Q (Suspended (Product Quality Deficiency)) for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit returned for warranted repair.

2. Use SCC D (Serviceable (Test/Modification)) for induction of serviceable assets requiring maintenance/overhaul.

3. Use SCC G (Unserviceable (Incomplete)), as applicable, for induction of unserviceable reparable.

(2) This change also revises DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support transaction processing and data content. The primary focus is the DLA interface with Navy FRCs to provide additional catalog data content to enable requisitioning for induction as prescribed under this change.

b. Background:

(1) A DMISA is a formal agreement, similar to a contract, whereby one military Service (the Repair Agent) agrees to provide depot maintenance support for another Service (the Principal). DMISAs are generally established to cover organic depot maintenance and related support functions for weapon systems, equipment end items, systems, subsystems, components, or commodity groups.

(2) Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, and 3BB were established to identify materiel requisitioned or shipped under a DMISA or comparable inter-Service agreement.

(a) Project Code 3AB is used to requisition repairable items for induction to a designated repair activity for repair and return to an end user.

(b) Project Code 3AD is used to requisition materiel (including both consumable and nonconsumable component parts) needed for performance of depot repair (overhaul and maintenance).

(c) Project Code 3BB is used to requisition repairable items for induction to a repair activity for repair.

(3) ADC 103 (Reference 3.a.) authorized requisitions containing Project Code 3AD to bypass DAAS routing logic and be passed to the identified RIC-To in support of an inter-Service maintenance agreement. Passing the requisition was intended to allow the requisition to be directed to the Principal so that the Repair Agent can acquire materiel for use in the repair action that is already in the Principal's inventory while ensuring that the requisition will not be rejected due to an unregistered user. It also eliminates the possibility that the source of supply will satisfy the requisition with a substitute item that may not be best suited for the repair. ADC 103 did not incorporate the reasons for the submission of requisitions to the Principal in the published MILSTRIP manual.

(4) Following the release of ADC 103, the Army Logistics Modernization Program (LMP) implemented functionality to allow Army depot maintenance activities to requisition repairables for induction from the owning activity in support of DMISA and comparable inter-Service agreements. During this time, numerous transactions were routing back to LMP instead of the intended recipient. This occurred because the items were Army-managed, and therefore, routed to the Army source of supply in accordance with MILSTRIP procedures. ADC 103 authorized passing of requisitions containing Project Code 3AD, but Project Codes 3AB and 3BB were not included in that change. As a workaround, the Army adopted the use of the DLMS 511R Referral Order (DIC A4_) as a way to bypass the source of supply indicated by the NSN. The Supply Process Review Committee Meeting (SPRC) (Reference 3.d.) and Joint Physical Inventory Working Group (JPIWG) 14-01 Meeting (Reference 3.e.) identified a tasking to update the procedures associated with Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, and 3BB. In addition to the Army-requested update to the DAAS logic, the Army requested clarification of the definitions and procedures because it was difficult to distinguish between the codes due to limited information published in the MILSTRIP and DLMS manuals (References 3.b. and 3.c.).

(5) During weekly meetings of the ODASD(SCI)-led Supply Visibility – Inter-Service Maintenance Working Group, Component representatives debated the appropriate party

to receive requisitions for piece parts including both consumable and nonconsumable parts. The group discussed alternatives for addressing these requisitions to the FLIS source of supply, the Primary Inventory Control Activity (PICA), the Secondary Inventory Control Activity (SICA), or the Principal. The chair asked each Service to research how their Service generates 3AD requisitions and recommend the most appropriate process for the future.

(a) Ultimately, the group reached a consensus that (1) consumable item requisitions should be directed to the source of supply and (2) nonconsumable (reparable) item requisitions should be directed to the Principal.

(b) The Air Force representative noted that their maintenance activity supply system will have a significant problem switching over to send its requisitions for depot level reparable (DLR) parts to the Principal if there is an Air Force wholesale item manager for the stock number needed and this stock number is a stock funded item. The first problem is the fact the Air Force maintenance activity supply system is hard coded to send all requisitions to the Air Force wholesale system in this situation. The second problem is the fact the Air Force maintenance activity supply system has no funds to pay for these parts since the Air Force wholesale system does not bill when it transfers materiel from its ownership to Air Force maintenance activity supply ownership. Software changes will be required, and process changes will be required (e.g., if the Air Force is the PICA, it cannot send a funded requisition to the Principal, so a MIPR is the only option currently available).

(6) Project Code 3AD Restriction to Nonconsumable Repair Parts. Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1176 retained the original guidance for applicability of Project Code 3AD to both consumable and nonconsumable repair parts. However, the PDC also contained alternative procedures to remove consumable items from Project Code 3AD procedures. A requisition for consumable parts would be submitted with no project code. DAAS would follow routine procedures to route these requisitions to the FLIS source of supply. Routing (rather than passing) the requisition could be advantageous after a logistics reassignment. The DLMS Program Office asked Components to indicate if they had a preference to restrict Project Code 3AD to nonconsumable repair parts. The Army provided a recommendation to move forward with this alternative (see comment resolution table at ADC attachment paragraph 13). Given the advantage, and in the absence of other feedback or an identified requirement for continued use of Project Code 3AD for consumables, this approach has been applied to the ADC procedures.

(7) Expanded use of SCCs in Requisitioning for Maintenance Induction. During the staffing period, the Air Force recommended including additional SCC values for maintenance activity requisitions for induction. Under current procedures the SCC is not included in the requisition but traditionally applies to SCC F (Unserviceable (Reparable)). The original proposal supported inclusion of SCC Q for requisitioning of PQDR exhibits for maintenance induction. The Air Force advised that there are additional SCCs applicable to reparables from DLA Distribution storage to the repair agent. Although the volume is small, it would be helpful if the repair agent could pull these assets into maintenance versus waiting on the principal to push these assets into maintenance. Based upon the Air Force recommendation, expanded use of the SCC has been applied to the ADC procedures. Here are some relevant examples to clarify the use of these additional SCC values.

(a) Example 1: SCC D (Serviceable (Test/Modification)). The Air Force is having the Army repair agent upgrade serviceable assets to a more preferred national stock number (NSN) version. The Air Force first has DLA reclassify SCC A assets to SCC D, and then these assets are issued to the local Army maintenance activity. The repair agent inducts under the original NSN and returns the modified SCC A asset under the new NSN, which then gets moved back over to DLA storage.

(b) Example 2: SCC G (Unserviceable (Incomplete)). The Army repair agent can't get the required serviceable replacement parts to fix an SCC F asset previously inducted. Based on the estimated shipment date for these parts, the repair agent decides it makes sense to return this asset, with unserviceable assets already removed, to local DLA Distribution storage using SCC G. When the replacement parts are finally received, the repair agents want this SCC G asset issued back to their facility so they can complete the repair.

(8) DLA Catalog Data Support for FRC

(a) Discussion during Supply Visibility – Inter-Service Maintenance meetings and subsequent follow-on meetings with the Navy and DLA highlighted an ongoing problem applicable to Navy FRC requisitioning. Under ADC 381 (Reference 3.h.), DLA assumed consumable item support for Commander Fleet Readiness Centers (COMFRC) regardless of the FLIS source of supply (materiel provided under this agreement is commonly referred to as “YOTH”). Under the support agreement, the Navy FRC is expected to forward consumable item requisitions (or unfunded requisition alerts) to DLA. However, the FRC cannot comply with the existing BRAC rules because the FRC does not have a method of identifying which non-Navy managed items are repairables. The maintenance system at the FRC (NAVAIR Depot Maintenance System (NDMS)) has no FLIS interface; furthermore, the Navy has stated that no such interface will be established in the future. As a result, requisitions for both consumable and other Service-managed nonconsumable items are directed to DLA. DLA accepts these requisitions and re-requisitions the materiel from the Service source of supply. DLA has no visibility of the Principal and, therefore, cannot direct the nonconsumable repair part requisitions correctly (as formally documented in this ADC). The Air Force voiced their objections to the misrouted requisitions. DLA discussed various options for interim and long term solutions, and has agreed to provide additional catalog information for repairable items using their existing interface with NDMS for the DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support established under ADC 360 (Reference 3.i.). Rather than staffing the DLMS 832 revisions as a separate proposed DLMS change, the catalog data support enhancements for identification of repairables are included in this ADC by agreement between DLA and Navy. Although DLA support for the Navy under the BRAC rules is under review to develop an alternative approach requiring initial support within the Navy, the current plan is to move forward with the catalog data enhancements.

(b) Identification of Repairables. In order to satisfy the new requirement, the DLMS Program Office identified two approaches for repairables in the DLMS 832N transaction and requested Component review. One approach mirrored the Logistics Metrics Analysis Reporting System (LMARS). LMARS uses the repairable indicator for items that the Components consider repairable to filter transactions to generate the Wholesale Inventory Control Point (ICP) Repairable National Stock Number Report (see ADC 1025 (Reference 3.k.)). For the alternative approach, the Navy and DLA requested inclusion of the following FLIS data elements related to identification of repairables: Nonconsumable Item Material Support Code

(NIMSC), Item Management Code (IMC), and the Depot Source of Repair Code (DSOR) (allowing for multiple values). Additionally, DLA requested two unrelated updates to the DLMS 832N which are incorporated in this ADC.

1. Net Price. Currently, DLA provides only the Navy net (exchange) price to the FRC. DLA requested reworking of the Net Price to allow multiple values identified by Service. This will provide appropriate information for FRC maintenance performed for other Services.

2. Change Transaction Processing. DLA indicated that there have been issues in the past when DLA provides a DLMS 832N change transaction to the Service, but it failed to post to the Service's database because the original add transaction was not successfully processed. Because the change transaction contains all relevant data (rather than only updated information), the change can be processed independently. This is the same concept used for MILSTRIP requisitioning; it will be adopted for catalog data support as an authorized DLMS enhancement.

(c) As a result of Component feedback, this ADC adopts Navy/DLA recommendations.

c. Change in Detail:

(1) Modify DAAS to pass (instead of route) DLMS 511R (DIC A0_), requisitions, DLMS 511M (DIC AM_), requisition modifications, and DLMS 869F (DIC AT_) requisition follow-ups containing Project Codes 3AB and 3BB (as is currently done for Project Code 3AD).

(2) Revise MILSTRIP definitions for DMISA-related project codes and update narrative information provided in MILSTRIP guidance to clarify business rules and correct obsolete information.

(3) Revise the requisition format to allow identification of SCC for requisitioning of reparable for the purpose of maintenance induction. Procedures will support use of SCC D, F, G, and Q. Receiving activities will induct PQDR exhibits using SCC Q.

Staffing Note: MILSTRIP procedures are applicable to inter-Service requisitioning under DMISA or comparable inter-Service agreement; however, Components may wish to support intra-Component maintenance requisitioning using SCC W (Suspended (Repair Decision Delayed) with prior coordination between the Principal and the repair agent (see Reference 3.i.).

(4) Revise the DLMS 832N transaction and procedures as follows:

(a) Support additional data content for use in the DLA-Navy FRC interface. Refer to FLIS Procedures Manual, Volume 10 (Reference 3.1.) for definition and code values.

1. Add Non-Consumable Item Material Support Code (NIMSC). See FLIS Table 107.

2. Add Depot Source of Repair Code(s) (DSOR). See FLIS Table 117. Allow multiple repetitions.

3. Add Item Management Code (IMC). See FLIS Table 77.

4. Modify Net Repair Price (Exchange Price) field to allow multiple entries in conjunction with the Net Repair Price Phrase Data Service qualifier, to denote the impacted Service.

(b) Revise procedures applicable to the Navy catalog data support process to direct the receiving system to accept a Catalog Transaction Purpose Code CC (Catalog Record Changed) in same fashion as Code AA (New Catalog Record Added) transaction. Modified transactions contain all relevant data content and can be processed as an overlay. Accepting a modified transaction in the absence of a new record on file will resolve recurring issues when a receiving system fails to receive or does not react to a Code AA transaction. This procedure is optional for other trading partners; this ADC documents the process as an authorized DLMS enhancement requiring no prior coordination for implementation.

d. Revisions to DLM 4000.25 Manuals:

(1) Update MILSTRIP guidance (legacy and DLMS) as shown in Enclosure 1.

(2) Revise MILSTRIP, Appendix 2.13, Project Codes, Category C and D Table as follows:

CODE	USE/REFERENCE
3AB Service Codes: All except B, D, K, P, and T	Used for requisition of reparable items for induction material shipments to a designated repair activity for repair and return to an end user as directed under existing agreements including Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) . DAAS will use the RIC-To to pass the requisitions to the activity indicated.
3AD Service Codes: All except B, D, K, P, and T	Used to identify material requisitioned for requisition of nonconsumable repair parts needed for performance of depot repair (overhaul and maintenance) under existing agreements including Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Support Agreement (DMISA) items. DAAS will use the RIC-To (To) (rp-4-6) to pass the AO= requisitions to the activity indicated. (This code will also assist in billing and credit processes.)
3BB Service Codes: All except B, D, K, P, and T	Used for requisition of reparable items for induction material shipments to a repair activity for repair as directed under existing agreements including Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service agreement . DAAS will use the RIC-To to pass the requisitions to the activity indicated. (Not applicable to repair and return. See Project Code 3AB)

(3) Revise MILSTRIP, Appendix 3.2, Requisition as follows:

FIELD LEGEND	TYPE REQUISITION BLOCK NUMBER(S) (MANUAL) RECORD POSITION(S) (MECHANICAL)	ENTRY AND INSTRUCTIONS
Blank	Block 23 70-80	Leave blank on inter-Component requisitions forwarded to the DLA and Government Services Administration (GSA) sources of supply. a. This field is optional for intra-Component use b. This field may be used for internal purposes on retained copies of requisitions.
<i>Supply Condition Code (SCC)</i>	(71)	<i>c. When requisitioning specific reparable for induction under Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service agreement, cite the SCC applicable to the reparable item requested. Authorized SCCs include D, F, G, and Q. Requisitions for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit for warrantied maintenance will cite SCC Q.¹</i>

¹ Refer to ADC 1176.

(4) Revise DLMS manual, DLM 4000.25, Volume 2, Chapter 23, Catalog Data Support, as follows.

(a) Navy Interface:

“C23.3.8.4. Modified Records. When the user entry modifies an existing record via the user unique screen application, **the system will prompt** the user ~~will be prompted~~ to save changes. This will trigger EBS to generate a DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support transaction citing Catalog Purpose Code CC, Catalog Record Changed. This record will contain all applicable data elements to build a record within Navy systems (allowing overlay, vice transmission of only the modified content). If the change is to an existing LSN record previously provided to a DSS site, a copy of the change will also be furnished. **The system receiving a transaction identified by Catalog Purpose Code CC that is unmatched to an existing record in the database will accept the transaction and process it as a new record.**¹”

¹ Refer to ADC 1176. Staggered implementation applies.

(b) Marine Corps Interface:

“C23.4.7. Modified Records. When the user entry modifies an existing record via the user unique screen application, *the system will prompt* the user ~~will be prompted~~ to save changes. This will trigger the MCMC system to generate a DLMS 832N IA Catalog Data Support transaction citing Catalog Purpose Code CC, Catalog Record Changed. This record will contain all applicable data elements to build a record within the DSS system (allowing overlay, vice transmission) of only the modified content). *The system receiving a transaction identified by Catalog Purpose Code CC that is unmatched to an existing record in the database will accept the transaction and process it as a new record.*¹”

¹ Refer to ADC 1176. Staggered implementation applies.

(5) Revise DLMS implementation conventions (ICs) DLMS 511R, 511M, and 869F as shown in Enclosure 2.

e. **Transaction Flow:** The DMISA process flows were identified during the 13-1 Supply Process Review Committee (SPRC) meeting; refer to [DMISA Transaction Flow SPRC JPIWG.pdf](#) (Reference 3.d.). The overall transaction flow is unchanged. However, DAAS added Project Codes 3AB and 3BB to the DAAS edit allowing requisitions, requisition modifications, and follow-up transactions containing these project codes to be passed to the RIC-To.

f. **Alternatives:** Refer to PDC 1176 for original proposal.

5. REASON FOR CHANGE: This change adds Project Codes 3AB and 3BB to the DAAS processing rules established for Project Code 3AD, thereby negating the need to send referral or passing orders as a way to preserve the RIC-To in the transaction. This will allow requisitions containing Project Codes 3AB and 3BB to be passed (instead of routed) to the Principal under a DMISA, or a comparable agreement. This is beneficial as it will improve accountability during maintenance and standardize procedures across the Services.

6. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

a. **Advantages:**

(1) This change will allow proper transmission of requisitions for induction of assets into repair by all Services with minimal changes to MILSTRIP procedures.

(2) The Principal will be able to recognize when the repair agent is trying to induct specific condition assets, including PQDR exhibits, rather than routine induction of a repairable in SCC F.

(3) The restriction of Project Code 3AD to nonconsumable repair parts will allow DAAS routing to the FLIS source of supply for consumables. This will ensure proper routing after a logistics reassignment.

b. **Disadvantages:** Programming changes will be required to implement.

7. ASSUMPTIONS USED OR WILL BE USED IN THE CHANGE OR NEW

DEVELOPMENT: Services will provide guidance to ensure compliance with DOD procedures requiring use of the requisition for induction to maintenance. Guidance must include use of the appropriate project code and authorize use of SCC.

8. ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

a. The Air Force will document procedures for providing credit for return of reparable parts resulting from maintenance. It is not known at this time if a new project code to replace current use of Project Code 3AD for the carcass turn-in to trigger credit to repair agent will be appropriate. A brief description of this process is included in the Navy comments at ADC Attachment paragraph 13.

b. Components should evaluate their desire for additional update to the requisition format to include the PQDR Report Control Number (RCN) and provide feedback in their staffing response. Approved DLMS Changes in the 1007 series (Reference 3.f and 3.g.) provide functionality for inclusion of the PQDR RCN in the materiel release order (MRO) and pre-positioned materiel receipt (PMR). Adopting this field in the requisition could further enhance requisitioning for induction to support systemic communication of the specific exhibit requested (when known) and perpetuation of the exhibit identification to the MRO.

Staffing Note: Components have not provided feedback on this aspect of the PDC documentation. If desired, Components must submit a separate proposal.

9. ESTIMATED TIME LINE/IMPLEMENTATION TARGET:

a. DAAS:

(1) Implement new project code DAAS logic within 30 days of the release of PDC 1176. DAAS reported implementation on May 19, 2016. Immediate implementation of this rule change was authorized by PDC as it was not considered a risk and ensured requisitions would be directed to the intended activity.

(2) Implement map update for SCC within 30 days of the release an approved change.

b. For all others, phased and staggered implementation is authorized. Components must report implementation status to the DLMS Program Office. Component target dates for implementation should be no later than the target date for full DLMS compliance December 2019.

10. ESTIMATED SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE ASSOCIATED WITH

IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHANGE: Not available. The PDC requested that the Services provide savings and cost avoidances due to misrouted requisitions. The Services did not provide this information for inclusion in the ADC.

11. IMPACT:

a. New DLMS Data Elements: New data elements are added to the DLMS 832N.

(1) **Nonconsumable Item Material Support Code (NIMSC).** A code identifying the degree of support received by an individual Secondary Inventory Control Activity (SICA) or identifying the Service(s) performing depot maintenance for a Lead Service (Primary Inventory Control Activity (PICA)). A nonconsumable item is defined as an item of supply that is managed by one or more Military Services as a nonconsumable (i.e., major end item, depot repairable, or nonstock-funded consumable). Code Source: DOD 4100.39-M Defense Logistics Information System (DLIS), Volume 10, Table 107.

(2) **Item Management Code (IMC).** A code identifying whether items of supply will be subjected to integrated management under the Defense Logistics Agency/General Services Administration or retained by the individual Military Services or other DoD components for management. Coding is accomplished under item management classification criteria. Codes are based on DOD criteria for items of supply. Code Source: DoD 4100.39-M Defense Logistics Information System (DLIS), Volume 10, Table 77, Part I.

(3) **Depot Source of Repair Code (DSOR).** A code identifying those activities that are approved to perform depot level maintenance for the Services. Code Source: DOD 4100.39-M Defense Logistics Information System (DLIS), Volume 10, Table 117, Part I.

(4) **Net Repair Price Phrase Data Service Code.** A code identifying the Service associated with the net (exchange) price for a repairable item that is unserviceable and is turned in on an exchange basis (FLIS DRN 5235). Applicable code values: A (Army), N (Navy), Air Force (F), or M (Marine Corps). Code Source: DOD 4100.39-M Defense Logistics Information System (DLIS), Volume 10.

b. Changes to DLMS Data Elements: None

c. Automated Information Systems (AIS):

(5) Army LMP to be enhanced to utilize DLMS 511R (DIC A0_), DLMS 511M (DIC AM_), and DLMS 869F (DIC AT_) transactions when requesting assets for induction under DMISA.

(1) All Components must ensure use of the appropriate project code when requisitioning under an inter-Service agreement.

(2) All Components must update requisitioning procedures to authorize/recognize use of SCC for requisitioning of PQDR exhibits, serviceable, and unserviceable assets for maintenance.

(3) **Distribution Standard System:** Revise logic for accepting DLMS 832N transactions for changes as new adds if the change is unmatched to the database. Insure DLMS 832N transactions do not fail due to additional data content.

(4) DLA Enterprise Business System and Navy NDMS: Revise catalog data support interface to support additional data content and to accept DLMS 832N transactions for changes as new adds if the change is unmatched to the database.

d. DAAS:

(1) Modify DAAS to pass (instead of route) DLMS 511R (DIC A0_), requisitions, DLMS 511M (DIC AM_), requisition modifications, and DLMS 869F (DIC AT_) requisition follow-ups containing Project Codes 3AB and 3BB. DAAS has already implemented this change based upon PDC 1176.

(2) Modify DAAS maps for inclusion of the SCC.

e. Non-DLM 4000.25 Series Publications: Update Service publications to reflect the updated definition for project codes and additional guidance for requisitioning under an inter-Service agreement. Army update required for Army Regulation AR 725-50, Table C-27.

12. PROPOSED DLMS CHANGE (PDC) 1176 STAFFING RESPONSE/COMMENT RESOLUTION:

	Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
1.	Army	<p>Concur with comment: The Army-requested update to the DAAS logic, clarification of the definitions and procedures was requested since it was difficult to distinguish between the codes due to limited information published in the MILSTRIP and DLMS manuals.</p> <p>"In the first word document enclosure on page 3, paragraph 5, subparagraph (a) states: A consensus was ultimately reached that consumable item requisitions should be directed to the Source of Supply and non-consumable (reparable) item requisitions should be directed to the Principle".</p> <p>The above statement should complement page 5, paragraph f: Alternatives (Staffing Note:) "Components should indicate of there is a preference to restrict Project Code 3AD to non-consumable repair parts.</p> <p>Functionally, this branch agrees that the Project Code 3AD should be restricted to non-consumable repair parts. Reason: Currently, GCSS-A activities route to NAMI (AJ2) based on the Source of Supply equal to another Service RIC in the Army catalogue. In LMP, these items are coded as LCMC managed even though the Army LCMC doesn't plan,</p>	<p>Comments accepted. The ADC reflects revised business rules for use of Project Code 3AD. This project code will be restricted to use for nonconsumable repair parts.</p>

	Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
		<p>stock, store, nor issue these materials. Generally, LCMCs are registered on these items because the material is/was part of an Army-used weapon system and the Army needs to receive cataloging, configuration information, etc. Because the ACMA RIC is equal to an Army LCMC, the profit centers on these materials are set to the LCMC profit center. These are consumable items, and are not stocked, stored, nor issued by the national level Army registered activity, these materials should be considered Non-Army Managed Materials (NAMM) from a logistics and financial standpoint. We are working towards the requirement/resolution for LMP to allow the LCMCs to process consumable requisitions (and have the profit centers aligned correctly) the same way they currently process NIMSC 5 materiel today and, therefore, should be segregated from the Project Code of 3AD.</p>	
2.	Marine Corps	<p>Concur</p> <p>During Interservice Maintenance Supply Visibility discussion with OSD SCI, the Marine Corps representative stated that the Marine Corps does not normally use the requisitioning procedures prescribed by MILSTRIP for induction into maintenance. Instead, the repair agent contacts the Principal off-line requesting the asset and the Principal generates the MRO.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Components should follow MILSTRIP procedures. If the Marine Corps has cause for alternative procedures, these should be documented. The Marine Corps may recommend process deviations for inclusion in MILSTRIP if the Marine Corps considers these to be warranted.</p>
3.	Navy	<p>Concur</p> <p>Recommend additional update to MILSTRIP for credit processing associated with Project Code 3AD. This method facilitates the processing of DMISA Agent Carcass Turn-in to Principal and Carcass Exchange Credits to the Agent Requisitioner on the original materiel requisition."</p> <p>Clarification of above: Project code 3AD indicates the DMISA Agent Depot is ordering a Shop Replaceable Assembly (SRA) directly from the DMISA Principal (if required)-without regard to it normally being a user of the SRA-to complete a DMISA repair for the Principal. Carcass Exchange Credits would need to be triggered for the DMISA Agent "F" condition turn-ins. In other words, even if the other service is the PICA, SICA or not a catalogued user on the NIIN, the DMISA Agent Depot would order the SRA NIIN from Principal directly (not from its Service ICP) to support DMISA</p>	<p>The Navy comments are noted, but update to MILSTRIP for the credit process is deferred for separate documentation and staffing. A new proposal is being drafted by the Air Force.</p>

Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
	<p>work it is doing. The carcass turn-in should trigger an appropriate credit to the requisitioning depot document, with the turn-in being returned to the Principal "F" condition ownership stow. This would provide the Exchange Price to the Agent Depot for parts provided by the Principal to enable DMISA repairs for its materiel.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Internal Navy discussion identified the following requirements:</p> <p>1. NDMS will be modified to accept and process additional information in the DLMS 832N. DLA will modify the DLMS 832N to pass the DMISA Principal FLIS Nonconsumable Item Material Support Code (NIMSC); Item Management Code (IMC); and the Depot Source of Repair Code (DSOR) to the FRCs so they can identify repairable items. This is acceptable to COMFRC.</p> <p>The group agreed to caveat the above with the risks of not properly managing NSNs in FLIS. If FLIS information is not accurate, the Agent may not be able to identify the Principal and whether or not material is repairable.</p> <p>2. NDMS will be modified to start using the project codes identified in PDC 1176. This is acceptable to COMFRC.</p> <p>3. NDMS will be modified to send DMISA requisitions to the Principal. This is acceptable to COMFRC.</p> <p>4. Interim Process: An interim process was discussed, to be implemented until the required system changes are complete (ECD early CY 2017). The process will consist of manual intervention on the shop floor to identify the Principle and apply the correct Project Code in accordance with the provisions of PDC 1176. The COMFRC business office will sign out a memo spelling out the required manual intervention.</p> <p>5. Other Requirements: The group indicated two other necessary requirements:</p> <p>-----</p> <p>NAVSUP WSS Comments:</p> <p>1. For Army carcass inductions (project code 3BB), Navy would have to require LMP to either place the fiscal year digit of the DMISA repair PO in</p>	<p>-----</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>No additional changes will be incorporated in this DLMS Change. If the Navy, in coordination with the other Services, requires additional</p>

Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
	<p>card column 12 of its requisition or upgrade DAC processing such that the DAC includes the funded DMISA repair order (PIIN) and line item of that order (CLIIN) on the DLMS DAC transactions. Navy also needs the fund code on the "F" condition requisition with project code 3BB to be 26.</p> <p>2. For Air Force DACs, Navy needs card column 11 of the document number to cite the fiscal year of the DMISA repair PO. If this new ADC 1176 requirement disrupts this process, Navy input is required in terms of how the requisition number is constructed, and Navy wants the fund code to be 26. If Navy cannot control this process, then the Air Force D035K program needs to add PIIN and CLIIN to the DACs.</p> <p>3. Project code 3AD indicates the Army, USMC or Air Force depot is ordering a Shop Replaceable Assembly (SRA) directly from the Navy—without regard to it normally being a user of the SRA—to complete a DMISA repair for the Navy. Credits would need to be triggered for the other service's (Army/USMC/Air Force) "F" condition turn-ins. In other words, even if the other service is the PICA, SICA or not a catalogued user on the NIIN, the Army/USMC/Air Force depot would order the SRA NIIN from Navy ERP directly (not from its service ICP) to support Navy DMISA work it is doing. The carcass turn-in should trigger an appropriate credit to the requisitioning depot document, with the turn-in being returned to Navy ERP "F" condition ownership stow. Navy would need to walk through an example of these incoming orders to see how Navy ERP would handle them. On the flip side, where Navy FRCs are ordering a SRA from the Army/USMC/Air Force with 3AD, Navy needs to determine if an FTA should be triggered to provide credit. This may require a change to Navy ERP.</p> <p>Navy ERP Comment:</p> <p>1. PDC 1176 will have an impact on Navy ERP, based on the requirement to process Supply Condition Code Q.</p> <p>FRC Questions/Comments:</p> <p>1. Question: Does this PDC negate NDMS sending a copy of the 511R (A0_/A3_) for reparable to DLA, which they utilize to perform MOV and</p>	<p>enhancements to the DLMS procedures addressed in ADC 1176, these should be documented separately by DLMS PDC.</p> <p>A separate PDC is under development to address credit for exchange items.</p> <p>Responses to FRC question 1: FRC procedures are impacted only to the extend that requisitions must be formatted to send requisitions for repairable parts to the Principal. Additional guidance pertaining to YOTH may result from ongoing discussions between Navy and DLA.</p> <p>All other comments are noted.</p>

Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
	<p>other Material Management functions on behalf of the FRCs?</p> <p>2. NDMS will be modified to accept and process additional information in the 832N. DLA will modify the 832N to pass the DMISA principal FLIS NONCONSUMABLE ITEM MATERIAL SUPPORT CODE (NIMSC); ITEM MANAGEMENT CODE (IMC); and the DEPOT SOURCE OF REPAIR CODE (DSOR) to the FRCs so they can identify reparable items. There is a data accuracy risk if NSNs are not managed properly in FLIS. If FLIS information is not accurate, the agent may not be able to identify the principal and whether or not material is reparable. Note that the above changes to DLMS 832N will identify reparables but are not sufficient to identify the principal. Principal will need to be obtained from the NDMS workload data (PSA) prior to submitting requisitions.</p> <p>3. NDMS will be modified to start using the project codes identified in PDC 1176 and to send DMISA requisitions to the principal.</p> <p>4. An interim process will be implemented until the required NDMS system changes are complete (ECD early CY 2017). The process will consist of manual intervention on the shop floor to identify the Principal and apply the correct Project Code in accordance with the provisions of PDC 1176. The interim process is dependent on completion of the 832N update, and some modification to the NDMS DLR ordering function may be required to provide for the use of the new project code for interservice DLRs. The COMFRC business office will sign out a memo spelling out the required manual intervention.</p> <p>5. NDMS does not currently requisition, report, or track DMISA end items. This process is handled offline between the DMISA workload planners and the DMISA Prime (customer), so any reporting problems would be in the DMISA Prime's system. There is a need to identify and levy requirements against an Automated Information System (AIS) to perform this process. CAV-ORM was mentioned as a potential candidate AIS, as it could be utilized for DMISA items similar to how it handles Navy intra-service assets today. For Navy assets, CAV-ORM is linked to Navy ERP, which creates the requisition and handles the accounting. For inter-service assets, CAV-ORM would rely on the other service's system to perform these functions. If CAV-ORM is used, NAVSUP needs to consider the requirement for the Air Force to stow its "F" condition material in a co-located DLA DD.</p>	

	Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
		<p>NAVSUP N3/4 Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Before an AIS is selected to requisition, report and track DMISA items, functional business process changes are needed and will require documentation from COMFRC, NAVSUP N3/4 and NAVSUP WSS. Any functional and IT changes will be compliant with the DLMSO-prescribed DMISA workflows documented in the DLMSO SPRC brief dated 18 Apr 2013. 2. NAVSUP N3/4 will discuss business process changes with stakeholders and provide functional requirements to NAVSUP N6. NAVSUP N3/4, NAVSUP N6 and COMFRC will then identify the appropriate AIS based on the functional requirements. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Change CAV-ORM to incorporate PDC 1176 provisions in induction and custody control processes. b. Change DLA EBS to help NDMS distinguish between repairable and consumable items. 	
4.	Air Force	<p>Concur with comment:</p> <p>AF MAJCOM AFMC is unable to support either requirement in the near term. Requisitioning unserviceable/serviceable repairable repair parts from the Principal will take a major system change for D035A, D035K, and our financial system, D035J. CSRD in-draft. EDD 4-6 years.</p> <p>Air Force prior comment:</p> <p>Regarding identification of reparables, the Air Force responded: We recommend using the NIMSC code in the Navy/DLA code set. DoD 4100.39-M, Volume 10 contains the data values for: NIMSC (table 107), IMC (table 77) and DSOR (table 117). NSNs that contain an alpha (PICA) or numeric (SICA) are, by definition, nonconsumable. IMCs would be a little tricky. Some IMCs indicate consumable like IMC J, Q, T or V. IMC E indicates repairable. The DSOR indicates the activity that performs depotlevel maintenance.</p> <p>The Services were asked to comment on executing ADC 1176 requirements during Interservice Maintenance Supply Visibility discussion. Specifically, if the Agent depot maintenance activity could (1) requisition from the Principle various condition codes (like Q, D, G & F) using project</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>ADC 1176 authorizes staggered implementation. DLMS compliance target date is 2019.</p> <p>Requisitions forwarded to the FLIS source of supply will not drawdown parts held by the owner/principal and may be rejected due to an unregistered user edit. Inclusion of SCC is a beneficial enhancement, but a work around is available through off-line communication. Recommend Air Force attempt to expedite implementation.</p>

	Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition
		<p>code 3BB and (2) requisition serviceable nonconsumable component parts from the Principle using project code 3AD.</p> <p>Response: The Air Force will not be able to support either requirement in the near term. Requisitioning unserviceable/serviceable reparable repair parts from the Principal will take a major system change for D035A, D035K, and our financial system, D035J. At best we are 4-6 years away from being able to do that.</p> <p>The Air Force depot system, when the AF is the Principal, doesn't need any significant changes to implement this ADC. It is when the AF is the Repair Agent that our depot system is significantly impacted.</p> <p>If the AF Principal can't support a requisition with a 3AD project code, it will be backordered. At that point, our PICA/SICA rules kick in. A knowledgeable wholesale item manager should have no problem passing the requisition on to another service SoS. The odds are they won't, but now we're back to a training issue; not a data system issue.</p> <p>Prior Feedback: Air Force expressed concern that we could cause irreversible harm (loss of property/loss of life) if an AF Agent does not use a Principles repaired part when performing depot maintenance. I'm not overly concerned if the Principle/Agent relationship is also a NIMSC 5 PICA/SICA. Where it gets "harry" is if there's a NIMSC 1,2,3,4,8 relationship. Now we are dealing with parts repaired under different repair specifications and owned by different Services.</p>	
5.	DLA	<p>Concur</p> <p>DLA identified requested updates to the 832N IC to support the new YOTH/DLR Routing:</p> <p>The DSOR, IMC, and NIMSC fields in FLIS will help define who is the responsible entity for the Repairable material. The NIMSC and IMC define the item as Repairable (with layers) and the DSOR defines the responsible entity.</p> <p>We are providing the Repair Net Price and plan to bring it in from all Services if listed. Then we want to transmit it to the NDMS system (all of them), with</p>	Noted.

	Originator	Response/Comment	Disposition																					
		<p>their qualifier, so the NDMS system can determine which cost is actually associated. In today's environment, providing the Navy only Repair Price has worked for almost all materials. The problem is when the other services consider an item repairable and we do not have that Repair Net Price.</p> <p>Regarding impact to other systems using an 832N interface with DLA EBS: If DSS and the Marine Corps can accept the 832Ns without modifications to their systems (after whatever updates occur), then that is great for them. However, since the 832N will have more loops (repair Net Price), additional fields (qualifiers for the extra Repair Net Price), and a longer 832N structure (because of the additions), I am not sure how any system could go unchanged. Unless they were originally designed with these extra capabilities without them being required.</p>																						
6.	DLMS Program Office	<p>The initial draft ADC identified the following LMARS procedures for identification of reparable:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="412 900 1539 1283"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="412 900 583 978">Component</th> <th data-bbox="583 900 821 978">Approved Repairable Codes</th> <th data-bbox="821 900 1539 978">FLIS DOD 4100.39-M Volume 10 Table</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 978 583 1020">Army</td> <td data-bbox="583 978 821 1020">A, D, H, F, O, L</td> <td data-bbox="821 978 1539 1020">Table 87 -- Army Recoverability Codes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 1020 583 1094">Air Force</td> <td data-bbox="583 1020 821 1094">T, P, S, U</td> <td data-bbox="821 1020 1539 1094">Table 69 -- Air Force Expendability- Recoverability-Reparability-Category Codes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 1094 583 1136">Marines</td> <td data-bbox="583 1094 821 1136">D, L</td> <td data-bbox="821 1094 1539 1136">Table 57 -- Marine Corps Recoverability Codes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 1136 583 1209">Navy</td> <td data-bbox="583 1136 821 1209">7G, 7R, 7H, 7Z, 7E G, H, Q, X, E</td> <td data-bbox="821 1136 1539 1209">Table 62 -- Navy Cognizance Codes Table 63 -- Navy Materiel Control Codes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 1209 583 1251">Coast Guard</td> <td data-bbox="583 1209 821 1251">R, O</td> <td data-bbox="821 1209 1539 1251">Table 128 -- Coast Guard Reparability Codes</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="412 1251 583 1283">DLA</td> <td data-bbox="583 1251 821 1283">None</td> <td data-bbox="821 1251 1539 1283">Table 130 -- Repairable Characteristics</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Based upon Component feedback and agreement during the Supply Visibility – Inter-Service Maintenance meetings, the more complex LMARS procedures are not necessary. Instead, this ADC adopts the Navy/DLA recommendation for new data content in the DLMS 832N.</p>		Component	Approved Repairable Codes	FLIS DOD 4100.39-M Volume 10 Table	Army	A, D, H, F, O, L	Table 87 -- Army Recoverability Codes	Air Force	T, P, S, U	Table 69 -- Air Force Expendability- Recoverability-Reparability-Category Codes	Marines	D, L	Table 57 -- Marine Corps Recoverability Codes	Navy	7G, 7R, 7H, 7Z, 7E G, H, Q, X, E	Table 62 -- Navy Cognizance Codes Table 63 -- Navy Materiel Control Codes	Coast Guard	R, O	Table 128 -- Coast Guard Reparability Codes	DLA	None	Table 130 -- Repairable Characteristics
Component	Approved Repairable Codes	FLIS DOD 4100.39-M Volume 10 Table																						
Army	A, D, H, F, O, L	Table 87 -- Army Recoverability Codes																						
Air Force	T, P, S, U	Table 69 -- Air Force Expendability- Recoverability-Reparability-Category Codes																						
Marines	D, L	Table 57 -- Marine Corps Recoverability Codes																						
Navy	7G, 7R, 7H, 7Z, 7E G, H, Q, X, E	Table 62 -- Navy Cognizance Codes Table 63 -- Navy Materiel Control Codes																						
Coast Guard	R, O	Table 128 -- Coast Guard Reparability Codes																						
DLA	None	Table 130 -- Repairable Characteristics																						

Enclosure 1, MILSTRIP/DLMS Manual Revisions

Revise DLM 4000.25-1, MILSTRIP, as indicated in **red bold, italics** and strike-thru text. Equivalent changes must be made to DLM 4000.25, DLMS, Volume 2, Supply Standards and Procedures, Chapter 4, Requisitioning.

~~"C2.23 REQUISITIONING REPARABLES FOR INDUCTION TO MAINTENANCE IN~~ ***SUPPORT OF AN INTER-SERVICE MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT***¹

C2.23.1. When ~~materiel~~ ***scheduling a reparable item*** is scheduled for organic maintenance ***under a Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service support agreement***, based on the repair schedule, the maintenance activity will requisition the ~~materiel~~ ***reparable item*** from the ***Principal*** (materiel owner) using an A0_ in the format specified in Appendix AP3.2. All requisitions will cite Advice Code 2J (fill or kill) in rp 65-66, and the appropriate supply condition code in rp 71. ***Requisitions will cite Project Code 3AB (repair and return under maintenance agreement) or 3BB (repair under maintenance agreement). When requesting specific assets, maintenance activities may cite the supply condition code (SCC) applicable to the reparable item requested. Authorized SCCs include D, F, G, and Q. Requisitions for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit for warrantied maintenance will cite SCC Q.***

~~C2.23.2. Project Code 3AD supports requisitioning under a Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA). When used, this project code will cause the requisition to be passed directly to the activity identified by the RIC in rp 4-6. DAAS routing rules will be overridden. If the submitting Component requires use of an alternate project code, (e.g., a contingency support project code), on a requisition for which 3AD functionality is also desired, the alternate project code would have precedence over the 3AD. In this situation, the requisition may be prepared as a passing order (DIC A3_) to preserve the proper destination while employing the Service mandated project code. In response to requisitions citing Project Codes 3AB or 3BB, the Principal will direct release of the reparable item from the storage activity to the repair agent. The Principal will establish a due-in and provide a pre-positioned materiel receipt (PMR) to the repair agent to ensure the item is receipted under the correct ownership (refer to MILSTRAP, Chapter 4).~~

C2.23.3. To preclude billing by the materiel owner, the A0_ requisition will also contain the following data elements to denote free issue. For A0_s submitted to:

C2.23.3.1. Air Force: For Air Force principals (RIC-To F**), use Project Code "3BB" in rp 57-59.

C2.23.3.2. Navy: For Navy principals (RIC-To ***NRP***), use ***N00391 in rp 45-50***, Signal Code B in rp 51, and Fund Code 26 in rp 52-53. ~~If RIC To is N32, use N00383 in rp 45-50; if RIC TO is N35, use N00104 in rp 45-50.~~

C2.23.3.3. Army: For Army principals (RIC-To A** or B**), use Project Code "3BB" in rp 57-59; Fund Code GM in rp 52-53, and Signal Code D or M in rp 51.

¹ Refer to ADC 1176. Staggered implementation of SCC for requisitions authorized.

C2.23.3.4. Marine Corps: For Marine Corps principals (RIC-To MPB), use Project code 3BB.

C2.23.4. Organic maintenance activities operating under a DMISA or comparable inter-Service support agreement will requisition nonconsumable repair parts required for a maintenance action using Project Code 3AD. Maintenance activities will direct reparable item requisitions to the Principal whose assets are intended are to be used for the repair (rather than to the source of supply). Directing requisitions to the Principal ensures appropriate actions are taken.

C2.23.4.1. Directing requisitions to the Principal precludes rejection of the requisition because the repair agent may not be a registered user of the materiel.

C2.23.4.2. Passing the requisition to the Principal reduces financial losses that would be incurred to purchase new materiel while previously purchased materiel is sitting in the Principal's inventory. This will reduce inventory balances as intended at the designated Principal, and does not unnecessarily draw down inventory at the supply source.

C2.23.4.3. Requisitioning from the Principal eliminates the possibility that the source of supply will satisfy the requisition with a substitute item which may not be best suited for the repair.

C2.23.4.4. This method of requisitioning facilitates accurate demand history capture at the source of supply (where it would otherwise be overstated) and improves forecasting by the Principal (where it would otherwise be understated).

C2.23.5. Organic maintenance activities will direct consumable item requisitions to the source of supply. Project Code 3AD does not apply. DAAS will process requisitions under MILSTRIP routing rules or by Service agreement.

C2.23.6. DAAS will pass requisitions (DIC A0_), modifications (DIC AM_), and follow-ups (DIC AT_) containing Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, and 3BB to the designated recipient (rather than routing to the source of supply).

Enclosure 2, DLMS Implementation Convention (IC) Revisions

#	Location	DLMS 511R Requisition Revision	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Notes	<p><u>Add ADC to DLMS Introductory Notes:</u></p> <p>- ADC 1176, Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs)</p>	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the IC.
2.	2/LQ01/140	<p><u>Add new DLMS Note to existing Code 83:</u></p> <p>83 Supply Condition Code DLMS Note: DLMS Note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use to indicate the lowest acceptable condition of materiel when requisitioning from disposal DLA Disposition Services. 2. Use to indicate condition of previously purchased materiel. This is a restricted use applicable when a Service has entered into an MOA with DLA relative to Service-owned stocks, centrally-managed/stored by DLA (1/BR06/020, Code RI). 3. Also authorized on an Intra-Army basis with BR02 transaction type code A0 and BR06 action code "J" to indicate the condition of materiel post-post issued by Army Single Stock Fund activities. 4. When requisitioning specific reparable for induction under Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service agreement, cite the supply condition code (SCC) applicable to the reparable item requested. Authorized SCCs include D, F, G, and Q. Requisitions for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit for warrantied maintenance will cite SCC Q. Refer to ADC 1176. 	Clarification. Expands functionality.

	Location	DLMS 511M Modification Revision	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Notes	<u>Add ADC to DLMS Introductory Notes:</u> - ADC 1176, Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs)	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the IC.
2.	2/LQ01/140	<u>Add new DLMS Note to existing Code 83:</u> 83 Supply Condition Code DLMS Note: 1. Use to indicate the lowest acceptable condition of materiel when requisitioning from DLMS DLA Disposition Services . 2. When requisitioning specific reparable for induction under Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service agreement, cite the supply condition code (SCC) applicable to the reparable item requested. Authorized SCCs include D, F, G, and Q. Requisitions for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit for warrantied maintenance will cite SCC Q. Refer to ADC 1176.	Clarification. Expands functionality.

	Location	DLMS 869F Requisition Follow-up	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Notes	<u>Add ADC to DLMS Introductory Notes:</u> - ADC 1176, Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs)	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the IC.

2.	2/LQ01/180	<p><u>Add new DLMS Note to existing Code 83:</u></p> <p>83 Supply Condition Code DLMS Note: 1. Use when requisitioning from DRMS to indicate the lowest acceptable condition of materiel condition when requisitioning from DLA Disposition Services. 2. When requisitioning specific reparable for induction under Depot Maintenance Inter-Service Agreement (DMISA) or comparable inter-Service agreement, cite the supply condition code (SCC) applicable to the reparable item requested. Authorized SCCs include D, F, G, and Q. Requisitions for induction of a Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) exhibit for warrantied maintenance will cite SCC Q. Refer to ADC 1176.</p>	Clarification. Expands functionality.
----	------------	--	---------------------------------------

	Location	DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Notes	<p><u>Add ADC to DLMS Introductory Notes:</u></p> <p>- ADC 1176, Revised Procedures for Requisitioning under Inter-Service Maintenance Agreement (Project Codes 3AB, 3AD, 3BB) and Revised DLMS 832N Catalog Data Support for Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) support of Navy Fleet Readiness Centers (FRCs)</p>	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the IC.
2.	2/LIN01/0100	<p><u>Revise DLMS Note for existing data element:</u></p> <p>Assigned Identification DLMS Note: 1. Must use to identify the purpose of the transaction. Cite the applicable Catalog Transaction Purpose Code. 2. For DLA Disposition Services Catalog Data Support (Catalog Purpose Code CP) only codes AA, CC, and DD are applicable. 3. For Sales Contract Catalog Data Support (Catalog Purpose Code SC) only codes AA, CC, and DD are applicable. AA: New Catalog Record Added CC: Catalog Record Changed DD: Catalog Record Deleted QU: Site Query for Catalog Record MN: Multiple NSNs for Part Number/CAGE NN: No record exists for NSN query NL: No record exists for LSN query NP: No NSN or LSN Found for Part Number/CAGE RN: NSN Replaced RS: Use NSN When Exhausted</p>	Supports new procedures.

		<p><i>SN: NSN Substituted</i> <i>NS: NSN Superseded</i> <i>DN: NSN Discontinued; no Replacement</i> 4. For SS&D Catalog Data Support, Catalog Purpose Code CC (Changed) may be processed as AA (Added) if the transaction is unmatched to an existing record in the receiving system. This is an authorized DLMS enhancement; Refer to ADC 1176.</p>	
3.	2/CTP/1700	<p><u>Revise Segment level DLMS Note 2:</u></p> <p>2. Use a second iteration of the CTP loop when needed to identify a net price. Use additional CTP loops when multiple net prices are provided by Service.</p>	Clarifies usage.
4.	2/CTP02/1700	<p><u>Revise DLMS Note for existing Code NET:</u></p> <p>NET Net Item Price DLMS Note: 1. Net Price for a repairable item that is unserviceable unit which has or will be turned in on an exchange basis. Also referred to as exchange price for depot level repairables. (FLIS DRN 5235) 2. Use with Net Repair Price Phrase Code Data Service Code at CTP10. If multiple net prices are provide, must include the Net Repair Price Phrase Data Service Code.</p>	Supports new data requirement.
5.	2/CTP10/1700	<p><u>Open Condition Value and add DLMS Notes:</u></p> <p>Condition Value DLMS Note Use for Net Repair Price Phrase Data Service Code with net (exchange) price (CTP02 Code NET) to denote the impacted Service. Cite A (Army), N (Navy), Air Force (F), or M (Marine Corps). Refer to ADC 1176.</p>	Supports new data requirement.

6.	2/LQ01/3400	<p><u>Add new Code IMC and associated DLMS Note:</u></p> <p>IMC Item Management Code DLMS Note: <i>Use with Catalog Purpose Code RC to identify the IMC. (FLIS Table 77). Refer to ADC 1176.</i></p> <p>NMS Nonconsumable Item Material Support Code (NIMSC) DLMS Note: <i>1. Use with Catalog Purpose Code RC to identify the NIMSC (FLIS Table 107, DRN 0076). Refer to ADC 1176.</i> <i>2. Local code NMS is established for use in the 832N, version 4030. A data maintenance action will be submitted for establishment of NMS “Nonconsumable Item Material Support Code (NIMSC)” in a future version.</i></p> <p>DSR Depot Source Of Repair (DSOR) Code DLMS Note: <i>1. Use with Catalog Purpose Code RC to identify the DSOR Code to identify the activities that are approved to perform depot level maintenance for the Services. Use multiple repetitions as applicable. (FLIS Table 117). Refer to ADC 1176.</i> <i>2. Local code DSR is established for use in the 832N, version 4030. A data maintenance action will be submitted for establishment of DSR “Depot Source Of Repair (DSOR) Code” in a future version.</i></p>	Supports new data requirement.
----	-------------	--	--------------------------------